PATENT

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(Case No. H0004387)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0 5 2004

IN THE APPLICATION OF:

Furlong, et al.

10/631,850

Examiner:

Patidar, Jay M.

OFFICIA

Filed:

Serial No.

July 24, 2003

Group Art Unit:

2862

Title:

Magnetic-Effect Sensing Apparatus with

Signal Thresholding

Box Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Attn:

Jay M. Patidar

Fax: 703-872-9306

Number of Pages: 3

Including this Transmittal Letter

Sir:

In regard to the above identified application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 - a) Response to Notice of Allowance.
- 2. With respect to additional fees:
 - Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490.
- 3. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the papers, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. 703-872-9306) on this 5th day of March, 2004.

Julian F. Santos

Reg. No. 47.917

MCDONNELL BOERNEN. MULBERT & BERGNOFF LLP 300 SOUTH WACKER DRNE CHICAGO, ELINOIS 60506 TELEPHONE (312) 913-0001 FACSIMIE. (312) 913-0002 03-05-04 16:15 From-MBHB

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 0 5 2004

OFFICIAL

3129132120

PATENT

P.02/03

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(Case No. H0004387)

IN THE APPLICATION OF:)	
Furlo	ng, et al.)	
Serial No.	10/631,850) Examiner:	Patidar, Jay M
Filed:	July 24, 2003) Group Art Unit:	2862
Title:	Magnetic-Effect Sensing Apparatus with Signal Thresholding)))	

Box Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

Responsive to the Notice of Allowance mailed December 17, 2003, the Applicants submit the following Comments on Statement for Reasons for Allowance.

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

The Applicants express appreciation for the allowance of the present application. The Applicants note the Examiner's reasons for allowance, but further comment that the art of record, alone and in combination, fails to show, teach or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims of the present invention.

The Applicants respectfully submit that the reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims. In the present case, however, the Applicants believe that the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear. Moreover, the Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. The Applicants believe that the Statements of Reasons for Allowance in this case are improper as it incorrectly copies limitations of the claim

into the reasons for allowance. While the Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, the Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 5, 2004

Adlian F. Santos
Reg. No. 47,917
McDonnell Boehnen
Hulbert & Berghoff LLP
300 South Wacker Dr.
Chicago, IL 60606
312-913-3304